1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643) David N. Sonnenreich (pro hac vice) dsonnenreich@agutah.gov OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 E 300 S, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872 Telephone: 801-366-0260 Counsel for Utah and the Plaintiff States	Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576) brian.rocca@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Daniel M. Petrocelli, (SBN 97802) dpetrocelli@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035 Telephone: (310) 553-6700 Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.	
12	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14			
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DOCUMENTS GOOGLE PRODUCED TO THE STATES IN RESPONSE TO CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMANDS Judge: Hon. James Donato	

WHEREAS, Defendants have represented that they will produce, by October 12, 2021, to the non-State Plaintiffs (the "Private Plaintiffs") all documents returned by the application of the search terms Defendants and the Private Plaintiffs have negotiated for use in this Action to all documents Defendants produced to the States in response to the CID issued by Nebraska on January 17, 2020, and to all documents Defendants produced to the States in response to the CID issued by Utah on July 31, 2020, without any further review or culling by Defendants (the "New MDL Production") with exception of de-duplication against documents already produced in this MDL;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Defendants and the Plaintiff States hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

- To expedite production of the above-referenced materials, Defendants will
 designate the documents produced pursuant to this Stipulation as HIGHLY
 CONFIDENTIAL -- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY under the operative Protective
 Order, subject to all Parties' rights to challenge a designation of confidentiality as
 permitted under the operative Protective Order;
- 2. Defendants and States will agree on an appropriate form of (a) Bates stamping the documents produced pursuant to this Stipulation, and (b) a report and/or metadata fields to be provided by Defendants to States that permits the States to map documents produced in response to the above-referenced CIDs to those documents produced in the New MDL Production as well as those documents withheld from the New MDL Production as duplicates of the pre-existing MDL production;
- 3. To the extent the States seek to use in the MDL a document produced by

 Defendants in response to a civil investigative demand (the "CID Documents")

 that was not produced by Defendants during discovery in the MDL, the CID

 Document should be produced in accordance with the following protocol:

- a. The States shall not "dump" the CID Documents in the MDL or otherwise give unfettered access to the CID Documents to the Private Plaintiffs;
- b. The States may produce to the Private Plaintiffs CID Documents which the States believe in good faith are reasonably related to their claims or Defendants' defenses in the MDL, and which the States reasonably believe may be used as evidence or as the basis for expert testimony in this MDL;
- c. The States shall provisionally label the CID Document with the highest level of protection under the operative protective order prior to disclosing or using the document, as follows: "PROV HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" unless third-party information requires a "PROV NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" designation;
- d. The States shall assign the CID Document a Bates number specific to the MDL, while preserving the Bates numbering associated with the document as produced in response to the CID;
- e. For purposes of preserving the rights and obligations under the operative protective order, Google shall be considered the Designating Party and/or Producing Party for any CID Document produced pursuant to this protocol.
- f. The States shall produce the CID Document simultaneously to all parties to the MDL. For the avoidance of doubt, this requirement does not apply to CID Documents produced by Defendants during discovery in the MDL, including CID Documents eliminated from the New MDL Production as duplicates of the pre-existing MDL production.
- 4. In the event the States determine that significant numbers of relevant CID

 Documents have not been captured in Defendants' production of CID Documents
 to the Private Plaintiffs, such that efficient cooperation among the plaintiffs has

1	been impeded, the States shall have the right to move for a modification of the		
2	above procedures following a meet-and-confer with Defendants.		
3	5. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be deemed a waiver of Defendants' right to seek		
4	a clawback of a docum	ent based on a good faith claim of attorney-client privilege	
5	or work product protect	tion.	
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
8			
9	Dated: September 20, 2021	OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL	
10		Brendan P. Glackin	
11		Decree of fully, submitted	
12		Respectfully submitted,	
13		By: /s/ Brendan Glackin Brendan P. Glackin	
14		Counsel for Utah and the Plaintiff States	
15		Counselfor Chair and the I taining States	
16			
17	Dated: September 20, 2021	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
18		Brian C. Rocca Sujal J. Shah	
19		Michelle Park Chiu Minna L. Naranjo	
20		Rishi P. Satia	
21			
22		Respectfully submitted,	
23		By: /s/ Brian Rocca Brian C. Rocca	
24			
25		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.	
26			
27			
28	CTIBLII ATION AND IN	4 POROSEDI ORDER RE, DOCUMENTS COOCLE	

1	Dated: September 20, 2021 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Daniel M. Petrocelli			
2	Ian Simmons			
3	Benjamin G. Bradshaw Stephen J. McIntyre			
4				
5	Respectfully submitted,			
6	By: /s/ Daniel Petrocelli			
7	D 1114 D 111			
8	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.			
9				
10	E-FILING ATTESTATION			
11	I, Brian C. Rocca, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to			
12	this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.			
13				
14	/s/ Brian C. Rocca			
15	Brian C. Rocca			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	5 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DOCUMENTS GOOGLE	_		

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	
3	Dated:
4	The Honorable James Donato
5	United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	5 STIPLIL ATION AND IPROPOSEDLORDER RE- DOCUMENTS GOOGLE